

3/PRTS

10/539618 JUN 2005
JC17 Rec'd 17/10

CORRECTION METHOD AND CORRECTION LOOP FOR A COMPLEX DIGITAL SIGNAL

5 The invention relates to the correction of the delay
between the phase and the envelope of a digital signal.
In particular, it relates to the application of this
correction in digital broadcasting transmitters.

10 Patent US 488,255 proposes a system for transmitting a
complex signal comprising a data transmission signal
component and an AM broadcast signal component. The
transmitters using the method of Kahn, in particular,
that described by patent US 488,255 for the
transmission of an AM broadcast signal, comprise
15 separate means of processing of the envelope and of the
phase and a multiplier of the envelope signal and of
the phase signal at the output.

20 In digital modulation, the phase channel is not as in
AM a pure RF frequency but a phase modulated RF
frequency. As the envelope signal and the phase signal
are processed by different and independent means, the
delay between these two signals, envelope and phase,
must be accurately tailored to obtain an RF output
25 signal of high quality.

A loop allows automatic elimination and dynamic
adjustment of the delay between the envelope signal and
the phase signal.

30 The technique generally used in loops is to compare in
the time domain the initial baseband signal with the
demodulated transmitted RF signal. To do this, the
delay between the two signals, initial and demodulated
transmitted, is evaluated accurately. Then, the
35 envelope and phase parts, in digital mode, of the two
signals, initial and demodulated transmitted, are
compared to determine the delay between envelope and
phase.

The present invention makes it possible to offer an alternative solution in which the use of the initial signal is not necessary. No temporal comparison with
5 the initial signal is necessary.

A subject of the invention is a method of correcting at least one parameter to be corrected pc of the envelope of a digital signal comprising the following steps:

- 10 - the decomposition of the digital signal into an envelope signal and a phase signal,
- the determination of the corrector to be applied to the parameter of the envelope by searching for the minimum out-of-band noise powers of the
15 signal.

Another subject of the invention is a loop for correcting at least one parameter to be corrected pc of the envelope of a digital signal comprising:

- 20 - an input on which it receives the digital signal,
- a calculation system linked directly or indirectly to this input, and comprising:
 - means of decomposition of the signal into two signals, envelope and phase, and
 - 25 • means of determining the corrector to be applied to each parameter to be corrected pc of the envelope by searching for the minimum out-of-band noise powers of the signal,
- a correction device intended to be deployed in a
30 chain for processing the digital signal, and linked to the calculation system which provides it with at least one corrector.

According to the variant of the invention, the
35 parameters to be corrected are a delay and/or an offset of the envelope signal with respect to the phase signal of the digital signal, and/or a nonlinearity of the envelope signal, and the correctors are, respectively, an inverse delay and/or an inverse offset and/or a

precorrection.

The invention also proposes a transmitter comprising a modulator and the correction loop comprising the input
5 receiving a modulated digital signal, a demodulator between the input and the calculation system, and the correction device intended to be deployed in a modulator with which the demodulator is associated.

10 In a first variant of the transmitter according to the invention, the transmitter is a linear transmitter.

In a second variant of the transmitter according to the invention, the transmitter comprises means of
15 decomposition of the demodulated digital signal into an envelope signal and a phase signal, in particular by implementing the method of Kahn. separate means of processing of the phase and of the envelope of the modulated digital signal.

20 The characteristics and advantages of the invention will become more clearly apparent on reading the description, given by way of example, and the figures appended thereto which represent:

25 Figure 1, transmitter with loop for correcting the delay between the envelope and the phase according to the state of the art,

30 Figure 2a and figure 2b, diagrammatic representations of the criterion of determination of the corrector according to the invention, figure 2a illustrating the determination of the minimum of the power of the out-of-band noise and figure 2b, the concept of criterion
35 of optimization of the shoulders of the spectrum,

Figure 3, an example of a block diagram of the method of correction according to the invention,

Figure 4, an example of a correction loop according to the invention,

Figure 5, an example of a transmitter using the method
5 of Kahn and comprising the correction loop according to the invention,

Figure 6, an example of a linear transmitter comprising the correction loop according to the invention.

10

Figure 1 shows a transmitter implementing the technique, generally used in the loops 60. The signal processing device provides the baseband signal to the transmission device 30 which transmits it in the form
15 of a radiofrequency (RF) signal S_{RF} via an antenna 40. The delay between the envelope e and the phase p is corrected with the aid of the correction loop 60.

The correction loop 60 comprises a demodulator 61
20 associated with the modulator 30. The demodulator 61 receives the signal such as it will be transmitted, that is to say the RF signal S_{RF} , and provides the demodulated signal d . The demodulated transmitted RF signal d is compared with the baseband signal s in the
25 time domain by the comparison device 62 so as to evaluate accurately the delay r_{sd} between the demodulated transmitted RF signal d and the baseband signal s .

30 Thereafter, the two decomposition devices 63 and 64 separate, respectively, a demodulated transmitted RF signal d and the baseband signal s into their envelope and phase parts (in digital mode) (d_e, d_p) and (s_e, s_p) . The comparison device 65, respectively the comparison
35 device 66, determines the delay r_s between the envelope and phase parts (s_e, s_p) of the baseband signal s , respectively the delay r_d between the envelope and phase parts (d_e, d_p) of the demodulated transmitted RF signal d .

The processing device 67 receives all of its delays r_{sd} , r_s and r_d so as to determine as accurately as possible the delay r allowing the device 68 to perform the
5 correction of the delay between the envelope e and the phase p within the modulator 30. The modulator 35 then transposes the baseband signal s into RF signal S_{RF} .

This correction loop 60 allows automatic compensation
10 and dynamic adjustment of the delay between the envelope channel e and the phase channel p .

The invention proposes to determine the delay between the envelope e and the phase p with the aid of another
15 criterion such that the correction loop 60 does not need the baseband signal s to perform this determination. This criterion may furthermore be applied to the correction of other parameters p_c of the envelope e of the signal, in particular to the offset
20 between the envelope e and the phase p or to a nonlinearity of the envelope e defined by its parameters $[a_0, a_1 \dots a_n]$. The invention therefore proposes in a general manner to determine the corrector c , regardless of the type of parameter to be corrected
25 p_c .

The determination of the corrector is illustrated by figure 2a. The determination of the corrector is effected by searching for the minimum of the power of
30 the out-of-band noise N_h of the signal, transmitted reduced to baseband if appropriate,

$$d = I + jQ = e_{\alpha} e^{jp_{\alpha}} \quad \text{where} \quad e_{\alpha} = \sqrt{I^2 + Q^2} \quad \text{and} \quad p_{\alpha} = (I + jQ)/e_{\alpha}.$$

Depending on whether one is seeking solely to determine
35 the corrector of the delay or of the offset, or the corrector of several parameters to be corrected such as the delay and/or the offset and/or the parameters $[a_0, a_1 \dots a_n]$ of the nonlinearity, one searches for the

minimum of a function with one or more variables, for example $N_h = f_1(\text{delay})$, $N_h = f_2(\text{offset})$, $N_h = f_3(\text{delay}, \text{offset})$, $N_h = f_4(a_0, a_1, \dots a_n)$, etc. The determination of this minimum of a function is effected by using the
5 existing mathematical tools.

In a simple variant of the method of determining a single corrector by searching for the minimum of the power of the out-of-band noise N_h , various
10 predetermined correctors c are applied successively to the envelope e_{er} . The two signals, corrected envelope e'_{er} and phase p_{er} , are multiplied. The resulting signal d' is then transposed into the frequency domain, by Fourier transformation (FFT) in particular, for the
15 calculation of the noise power N_h . This power may also be evaluated in the time domain. The comparison of the power of the noise N_h for the various predetermined correctors applied (illustrated by diamonds in figure 2a, the circle illustrating the parameter to be
20 corrected p_c at the outset) makes it possible to determine that one of the predetermined correctors for which the power of the noise N_h is the smallest.

Another example: the evaluation of the delay may be
25 performed by sample shifting. This procedure possibly having too coarse an interval of definition of the delay, it is possible to refine the accuracy with the aid of delaying filters.

30 The determination of the corrector or correctors by searching for the minimum of the out-of-band noise power N_h , allows a correction which is illustrated in terms of spectrum by a switch from the spectrum shown dashed with high shoulders to a spectrum shown by a
35 solid line with low shoulders as shown by figure 2b. This is why the criterion for determining the corrector or correctors is called, hereinafter, the criterion for optimizing the shoulders of the spectrum.

Figure 3 illustrates the method of correcting at least one parameter to be corrected p_c of the envelope e of a digital signal s characterized in that it comprises the following steps:

- 5 - [S2] the decomposition of a digital signal s_{er} into two signals, envelope e_{er} and phase p_{er} ,
- [S3] the determination of the corrector c to be applied to each parameter to be corrected p_c of the envelope e_{er} by searching for the minimum out-of-band
10 noise powers N_h ,
- [S4] the correction of the signal s_{er} by the corrector c .

When the signal s_{er} is a modulated signal, the method
15 furthermore comprises the step [S1] of demodulation before the step [S2] of decomposition of the demodulated signal d obtained during step [S1] on the basis of the signal s_{er} .

- 20 Step [S3] of determining corrector(s) c may comprise the existing mathematical tools for searching for the minimum of a function with one or more variables. This function whose minimum is sought is that of the power of the out-of-band noise N_h , for example $N_h = f_1(\text{delay})$,
25 $N_h = f_2(\text{offset})$, $N_h = f_3(\text{delay}, \text{offset})$, $N_h = f_4(a_0, a_1 \dots a_n)$ where the parameters $[a_0, a_1 \dots a_n]$ are those of a nonlinearity of the envelope e_{er} , etc.

Step [S3] of determination of corrector(s) c may thus
30 comprise the following substeps (not illustrated in figure 3):

- S[31] a successive application of various predetermined values $\{C_1 \text{ to } C_M\}$ of the corrector c to the envelope e_{er} ,
- 35 - [S32] a multiplication of the corrected envelope e'_{er} and of the phase p_{er} for each value $\{C_1 \text{ to } C_M\}$ of the corrector c ,
- [S33] a transposition into the frequency domain of the signals thus obtained for each of the predetermined

values $\{C_1 \text{ to } C_M\}$ of the corrector c (corresponding to the diamonds of figure 2a),

- [S33] the comparison of the out-of-band noise powers N_h for each of the predetermined values $\{C_1 \text{ to } C_M\}$ of the corrector c , the value adopted for c being that corresponding to the smallest out-of-band noise power.

Figure 4 shows an example of a correction loop 60 according to the invention. The signal s_{er} , at least one of whose parameters is to be corrected, is on an input of the loop 60.

The method of correction according to the invention is such that the initial baseband signal is not necessary. This is why the correction loop 60 may comprise this input alone receiving the digital signal s_{er} having at least one parameter to be corrected p_c .

The correction loop comprises a calculation system linked directly or indirectly to this input.

In the example of figure 4, the signal s_{er} is a modulated signal. In this case, the correction loop 60 comprises a demodulator 61 receiving this signal s_{er} and providing the corresponding demodulated signal d . The signal thus demodulated d is presented to the calculation system.

When the signal s_{er} is a baseband signal, the latter is presented directly to the calculation system. The correction loop 60 then comprises no demodulator 61.

The calculation system comprises means of decomposition 64 of the signal which is presented to it s_{er} or d (according, respectively, to whether s_{er} is in baseband or modulated) into two signals, envelope e_{er} and phase P_{er} .

The calculation system furthermore comprises means of determination of the corrector c 67' to be applied to each parameter to be corrected p_c . The means of determination of the corrector c 67' receive the
5 envelope e_{er} and the phase p_{er} from the decomposition means 64. The criterion applied by these determination means 67' is that of optimization of the shoulders of the spectrum. To do this, the means of determination 67' implement the mathematical tools existing for
10 searching for a minimum of a function, in this instance of the out-of-band noise power N_h .

The parameters to be corrected p_c are a delay and/or an offset of the envelope signal e_{er} with respect to the
15 phase signal p_{er} of the digital signal, and/or a nonlinearity of the envelope signal e_{er} , and the correctors c are, respectively, an inverse delay and/or an inverse offset and/or a precorrection.

20 The means of determination 67' of the calculation system provide a correction device 68' with the corrector(s) c which it has determined. The correction device 68' is intended to be deployed in a digital signal processing chain. In the example of figure 4,
25 the correction device 68' is intended to be deployed in a modulator 30 with which the demodulator 61 is associated.

Such a correction loop may be deployed in various types
30 of transmitter, for example transmitters using the method of Kahn, linear transmitters, etc. It is in particular beneficial for any type of conventional AM transmitter using the Kahn method of modulation.

35 The correction loop 60 thus allows automatic compensation and dynamic adjustment of parameter, in particular of the delay between the envelope e_{er} and the phase p_{er} . They are undertaken by a demodulator 61, for example an RF demodulator as illustrated in figures 5

and 6, and a correction device 68', in particular dynamic precorrection software, deployed in the modulator 30, in particular in the chip 31 of this modulator.

5

Figure 5 illustrates a transmitter using the method of Kahn comprising a correction loop according to the invention. The correction loop 60 then undertakes dynamic adjustment with automatic elimination and
10 allows quality out-of-band transmission regardless of the frequency or the mode of forwarding selected.

The signal processing device 10 receives the low frequency signal S_{BF} and provides the corresponding
15 baseband signal s . The digital baseband signal s is a complex signal.

The majority of the most efficient radiobroadcasting transmitters use the Kahn method of modulation which
20 involves processing the envelope e and the phase p separately (32, 33) and recombining (34) these two signals e and p at the output.

The modulator 30 using the method of Kahn is
25 illustrated by figure 5. The baseband signal s is processed by the calculation device 31 which separates this signal into an envelope signal e and a phase signal p . The processing devices 32 and 33 then operate on, respectively, this envelope signal e and this phase
30 signal p . The multiplier 34 then recombines these two envelope e and phase p signals into a signal S_{RF} . The signal S_{RF} is transmitted via the antenna 40.

As the envelope e and the phase p are processed by two
35 different and independent paths, respectively 32 and 33, various parameters between these two paths, in particular the delay, must be tailored accurately in order to obtain an RF signal S_{RF} of high quality with an acceptable level of the out-of-band noise power N_h .

Let us consider the parameter to be tailored as being the delay. Taking into consideration that the processing time is not constant and may vary, in particular as a function of the operating mode and of the frequency, and taking into consideration the high sensitivity of this tailoring on the final quality of the digital RF signal transmitted, a correction loop 60 according to the invention is deployed in this transmitter. This correction loop 60 allows automatic compensation and dynamic adjustment of the delay.

After a possible manual preadjustment during the phase of bringing into service of the transmitter, this option constituted by the correction loop 60 according to the invention is capable of executing the automatic elimination and dynamic adjustment of the delay so as to cope with the possible variations in delay time due to the changes of conditions of use (mode, frequency, etc.).

To execute this automatic elimination and dynamic adjustment of the delay r , an RF sample s_{er} taken at the output of the transmitter is demodulated 61 and processed 64, 67'. A digital precorrection 68' is applied directly to the baseband signal s so as to have an optimized result at the output of the transmitter. The digital processing may be performed iteratively to obtain the best possible result.

The correction loop 60 deployed in the transmitter using the Kahn method of modulation, an example of which is proposed by figure 5, has its input linked to the output of the transmitter to receive the RF sample s_{er} of the transmitted signal S_{RF} . Its demodulator 61 provides the means of decomposition 64 into envelope e_{er} and phase p_{er} signals with the demodulated signal d . Its means of determination 67' of the corrector c of the delay r process the envelope e_{er} and phase p_{er} by

searching for the minimum of the out-of-band noise power N_h , and forward the corrector c to the correction device 68' deployed in the calculation device 31 of the modulator 30 so that it effects the correction.

5

These means of determination 67' and this correction device 68' are also capable of determining the corrector(s) of the offset of the envelope signal e_{er} with respect to the phase signal p_{er} and a nonlinearity of the envelope signal p_{er} . The determination and the
10 correction of each of these parameters: delay, offset, nonlinearity may be performed separately or in combination.

15 Figure 6 illustrates a linear transmitter (Class A or B) comprising a correction loop according to the invention. The signal processing device 10 receives the low frequency signal s_{BF} and provides the corresponding baseband signal s . The digital baseband signal s is a
20 complex signal.

The modulator 30 of a linear transmitter is illustrated by figure 5. The baseband signal s is transposed into radio frequency: signal S_{RF} the device 35. The signal
25 S_{RF} is transmitted via the antenna 40.

Let us consider the parameters to be tailored as being the parameters of a nonlinearity of the envelope e . The correction loop 60 allows the automatic compensation and dynamic adjustment of this nonlinearity.
30

To execute this automatic compensation and dynamic adjustment of this nonlinearity, an RF sample s_{er} taken at the output of the transmitter is demodulated 61 and
35 processed 64, 67'. A digital precorrection 68' is applied directly to the baseband signal s so as to have an optimized result at the output of the transmitter. The digital processing may be performed iteratively to obtain the best possible result.

The correction loop 60 deployed in the linear transmitter, an example of which is proposed by figure 6, has its input linked to the output of the transmitter to receive the RF sample s_{er} of the transmitted signal S_{RF} . Its demodulator 61 provides the means of decomposition 64 into envelope e_{er} and phase p_{er} signals with the demodulated signal d . Its means of determination 67' of the corrector c of the nonlinearity process the envelope e_{er} and phase p_{er} by searching for the minimum of the out-of-band noise power N_h , and forward the corrector c to the correction device 68' deployed in the calculation device 31 of the modulator 30 so that it effects the correction.

These means of determination 67' and this correction device 68' are also capable of determining the corrector(s) of the delay and/or of the offset of the envelope signal e_{er} with respect to the phase signal p_{er} . The determination and the correction of each of these parameters: delay, offset, nonlinearity may be performed separately or in combination.

The transmitters, in particular those using the Kahn modulation and the linear transmitters, comprising such a transmitter correction loop 60 may be used for the radiobroadcasting or telebroadcasting of digital signals.

The correction loop 60 according to the invention may be more generally used in all applications a complex digital signal comprising one or more parameter to be corrected. Particularly, this correction loop 60 is well suited when no initial signal is available as comparison element.